UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/595,977	06/14/2007	Mark Ashby	1001.2219102	1136
	7590	EXAMINER		
1221 Nicollet Avenue Suite 800 Minneapolis, MN 55403			MASHACK, MARK F	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			3773	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/09/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision
from Pre-Appeal Brief
Review

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination	
10/595,977	ASHBY ET AL.	
	Art Unit	
MARK MASHACK	3773	
* *	-	

This is in response to the Pre-Appea	Brief Request for Review filed 16 Nov	vember 2010.			
 Improper Request – The freason(s): 	Request is improper and a conference	will not be held for the following			
The request does not inc	s not been filed concurrent with the Pro lude reasons why a review is appropri is included with the Pre-Appeal Brief r	ate.			
	nse continues to run from the receipt c immunication, if no Notice of Appeal h				
2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been held. The application remains under appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period running from the receipt of the notice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date of the notice of appeal, as applicable.					
The panel has determin Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from co		ws:			
3. Allowable application – A conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by applicant at this time.					
4. Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office action will be mailed. No further action is required by applicant at this time.					
All participants:					
(1) MARK MASHACK.	(3) <u>JANET BA</u>	XTER.			
(2) <u>JACKIE HO</u> .	(4)				
/Mark Mashack/ Examiner, Art Unit 3773	/(Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3773	/Janet C. Baxter/ TC 3700 TQAS			